

RANK-POLYMORPHIC ARRAYS IN DEPENDENTLY- TYPED LANGUAGES

Artjoms Šinkarovs

7 May 2022

INTRODUCTION

(Demo: rank polymorphism in APL)

In the talk I showed the program generating prime numbers:

```
a ← ⍋ 30
a/⍋2=+0=a∘.|a
2 3 5 7 11 13 17 19 23 29
```

TYPE SYSTEM FOR RANK-POLYMORPHIC ARRAYS

- Guarantee lack of out-of-bound access
- Support APL-like combinators
- Inevitable necessity to use dependent types

WHAT IS AN ARRAY TYPE?

Let us attempt to use the `Vec` type.

```
data Vec (X : Set) : ℕ → Set where
  []      : Vec X 0
  _::_    : ∀ {n} → X → Vec X n → Vec X (1 + n)
```

EXPRESS MULTIPLE DIMENSIONS

If the number of dimensions is statically known, we can nest `Vec`s as follows:

$$\text{Mat} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$
$$\text{Mat } X \ m \ n = \text{Vec } (\text{Vec } X \ n) \ m$$
$$_ \oplus _ : \forall \{m \ n\} \rightarrow (a \ b : \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ m \ n) \rightarrow \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ m \ n$$
$$a \oplus b = \dots$$

EXPRESS MULTIPLE DIMENSIONS

If the number of dimensions is statically known, we can nest `Vec`s as follows:

```
Mat : Set → N → N → Set
```

```
Mat X m n = Vec (Vec X n) m
```

```
_⊕_ : ∀ {m n} → (a b : Mat N m n) → Mat N m n
```

```
a ⊕ b = ...
```

How do we handle rank-polymorphic functions?

RANK POLYMORPHISM THROUGH NESTING

Let us define a function that computes the n -fold nesting of `Vec`s based on the array shape:

```
Tensor : Set → List N → Set
Tensor X [] = X
Tensor X (x :: s) = Vec (Tensor X s) x
```

RANK-POLYMORPHIC EXAMPLE

We can use `Tensor` to specify as follows:

```
_⊕'_ : ∀ {s} → (a b : Tensor ℕ s) → Tensor ℕ s  
a ⊕' b = ...
```

```
ten-2×3 : Tensor ℕ (2 :: 3 :: [])  
ten-2×3 = ((1 :: 2 :: 3 :: []) ::  
           (4 :: 5 :: 6 :: []) :: [])
```

```
test-⊕' : Tensor ℕ (2 :: 3 :: [])  
test-⊕' = ten-2×3 ⊕' ten-2×3
```


TRY TO WRITE A PROGRAM

(Demo with **Tensor**)

In the talk I showed how to write a matrix-multiply program using **Tensor** encoding:

```
postulate
```

```
  mtrans :  $\forall \{m\ n\} \rightarrow \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ m \ n \rightarrow \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ n \ m$ 
```

```
matmul :  $\forall \{m\ n\ k\} \rightarrow \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ m \ n \rightarrow \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ n \ k$   
         $\rightarrow \text{Mat } \mathbb{N} \ m \ k$ 
```

```
matmul a b = map ( $\lambda$  r  $\rightarrow$  map ( $\lambda$  c  $\rightarrow$   
  sum (zipWith  $_*$  r c)) (mtrans b)) a
```

REPRESENTABILITY

We know that `Vec` is represented by `Fin n`:

```
data Fin : ℕ → Set where
  zero : ∀ {n} → Fin (1 + n)
  suc  : ∀ {n} → Fin n → Fin (1 + n)
```

which means that $(\text{Fin } n \rightarrow X) \cong \text{Vec } X \ n$

REPRESENTABILITY

We know that `Vec` is represented by `Fin n`:

```
data Fin : ℕ → Set where
  zero : ∀ {n} → Fin (1 + n)
  suc  : ∀ {n} → Fin n → Fin (1 + n)
```

which means that $(\text{Fin } n \rightarrow X) \cong \text{Vec } X \ n$

Can we find a representation for `Tensor X n`?

ARRAYS AS FUNCTIONS

```
data Ix : List ℕ → Set where
  []      : Ix []
  _::_    : ∀ {n s} → Fin n → Ix s → Ix (n :: s)
```

```
Ar : Set → List ℕ → Set
Ar X s = Ix s → X
```

EXAMPLES (1)

`sum` : $\forall \{n\} \rightarrow \text{Ar } \mathbb{N} (n :: []) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
`sum` = ...

`matmul` : $\forall \{m\ n\ k\}$
 $\rightarrow \text{Ar } \mathbb{N} (m :: n :: [])$
 $\rightarrow \text{Ar } \mathbb{N} (n :: k :: []) \rightarrow \text{Ar } \mathbb{N} (m :: k :: [])$
`matmul` `a` `b` `(i :: j :: [])` = `sum` $\lambda \{$
 `(k :: [])` \rightarrow `a` `(i :: k :: [])` * `b` `(k :: j :: [])`
 $\}$

EXAMPLES (2)

`raise` : $\forall \{m\} n \rightarrow \text{Fin } m \rightarrow \text{Fin } (n + m)$

`raise zero` $i = i$

`raise (suc n)` $i = \text{suc } (\text{raise } n \ i)$

`drop` : $\forall \{m \ s \ X\} \rightarrow (n : \mathbb{N})$

$\rightarrow \text{Ar } X \ ((n + m) :: s) \rightarrow \text{Ar } X \ (m :: s)$

`drop n a (i :: ix)` = `a (raise n i :: ix)`

TRANSPOSE

$\text{rev} \circ \text{rev} : \forall \{s : \text{List } \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow \text{reverse} (\text{reverse } s) \equiv s$
 $\text{rev} \circ \text{rev} = \dots$

$\text{rev-ix} : \forall \{s\} \rightarrow \text{Ix } s \rightarrow \text{Ix} (\text{reverse } s)$
 $\text{rev-ix } \text{ix} = \dots$

$\text{transpose} : \forall \{X\} \{s\} \rightarrow \text{Ar } X\ s \rightarrow \text{Ar } X (\text{reverse } s)$
 $\text{transpose } a\ \text{ix} = a (\text{subst } \text{Ix } \text{rev} \circ \text{rev} (\text{rev-ix } \text{ix}))$

TRANSPORTING OVER EQUALITIES

If we have $p \equiv q$, we can transport Ix (or any other type over it):

```
transp-ix :  $\forall \{s p\} \rightarrow s \equiv p \rightarrow Ix\ s \rightarrow Ix\ p$   
transp-ix refl ix = ix
```

```
transp-ar :  $\forall \{X s p\} \rightarrow s \equiv p \rightarrow Ar\ X\ s \rightarrow Ar\ X\ p$   
transp-ar refl a = a
```

However, what happens if we don't have equality, but we have an isomorphism? Given $s \cong p$, can we derive

GENERALISATION

The key point of this construction is a monoidal universe:

```
record MonoidalUniv : Set1 where
  field
    U : Set
    El : U → Set

    ι : U
    _⊗_ : U → U → U

    el-ι : El ι ↔ τ
    el-⊗ : ∀ {a b} → El (a ⊗ b) ↔ (El a × El b)
```

MULTIPLE DIMENSIONS

```
module _ (M : MonoidalUniv) where
  open MonoidalUniv M
```

```
split :  $\forall \{a\ b\} \rightarrow \text{All } E1 \ (a \ ++ \ b)$ 
        $\rightarrow \text{All } E1 \ a \times \text{All } E1 \ b$ 
```

```
join  :  $\forall \{a\ b\} \rightarrow \text{All } E1 \ a \times \text{All } E1 \ b$ 
        $\rightarrow \text{All } E1 \ (a \ ++ \ b)$ 
```

```
ranked : MonoidalUniv
```

```
MonoidalUniv.U ranked = List U
```

```
MonoidalUniv.E1 ranked = All E1
```

```
MonoidalUniv.⊔ ranked = []
```

```
MonoidalUniv._⊗_ ranked = _++_
```

```
MonoidalUniv.el-⊔ ranked = ...
```

```
MonoidalUniv.el-⊗ ranked = ...
```

RESHAPES (1)

```
module _ (M : MonoidalUniv) where
  module M = MonoidalUniv M
  module R = MonoidalUniv (ranked M)

  data Reshape : R.U → R.U → Set where
    eq : ∀ {s} → Reshape s s
    _⊙_ : ∀ {s p q} → Reshape p q → Reshape s p
      → Reshape s q
    rflat : ∀ {m n s p} → Reshape s p
      → Reshape (m :: n :: s) (m M.⊗ n :: p)
    rjoin : ∀ {m n s p} → Reshape s p
      → Reshape (m M.⊗ n :: s) (m :: n :: p)
    rswap : ∀ {m n s p} → Reshape s p
      → Reshape (m :: n :: s) (n :: m :: p)
    prep : ∀ {m s p} → Reshape s p
      → Reshape (m :: s) (m :: p)
```

RESHAPES (2)

```
rev :  $\forall \{a b\} \rightarrow \text{Reshape } a b \rightarrow \text{Reshape } b a$   
rev = ...
```

```
_⟨_⟩ :  $\forall \{a b\}$   
       $\rightarrow \text{R.El } a \rightarrow \text{Reshape } b a \rightarrow \text{R.El } b$ 
```

```
ix ⟨ eq ⟩ = ix
```

```
ix ⟨ r  $\odot$  r1 ⟩ = ix ⟨ r ⟩ ⟨ r1 ⟩
```

```
(i :: ix) ⟨ rflat r ⟩ =
```

```
  let p , q = Inverse.f M.el- $\otimes$  i
```

```
  in p :: q :: (ix ⟨ r ⟩)
```

```
(i :: j :: ix) ⟨ rjoin r ⟩ =
```

```
  Inverse.f-1 M.el- $\otimes$  (i , j) :: (ix ⟨ r ⟩)
```

```
(i :: j :: ix) ⟨ rswap r ⟩ = j :: i :: (ix ⟨ r ⟩)
```

```
(i :: ix) ⟨ prep r ⟩ = i :: (ix ⟨ r ⟩)
```

GENERALISATION

We can play the same game with Σ -universes:

```
record SigmaUniv : Set1 where
  field
    U : Set
    El : U → Set

    ι : U
    σ : (a : U) → (El a → U) → U

    el-ι : El ι ↔ τ
    el-σ : ∀ {a b} → El (σ a b)
           ↔ (Σ[ x ∈ El a ] (El (b x)))
```

MULTIPLE DIMENSIONS

Multiple dimensions is given by the following data structure:

```
module _ (S : SigmaUniv) where
  open SigmaUniv S

  data  $\Sigma n$  : Set
  All $\Sigma n$  :  $\Sigma n \rightarrow$  Set

  data  $\Sigma n$  where
    1d : U  $\rightarrow$   $\Sigma n$ 
    nd : (x :  $\Sigma n$ )  $\rightarrow$  (All $\Sigma n$  x  $\rightarrow$   $\Sigma n$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\Sigma n$ 

  All $\Sigma n$  (1d x) = E1 x
  All $\Sigma n$  (nd x f) =  $\Sigma$  (All $\Sigma n$  x) (All $\Sigma n$   $\circ$  f)
```

CONCLUSIONS

- Typed arrays operations with safe indexing are possible;
- Container-like approach preserves “natural” reasoning in terms of indices and do not commit to any representation;
- Monoidal universes give rise to many nice properties including flattening and element-preserving reshapes;
- Mappable to parallel architectures;
- Generalisation to non-homogeneous arrays.

THANK YOU